

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Sheida Hukman,

Case No.: 2:23-cv-00501-CDS-NJK

## Plaintiff

## Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Time

V.

Snackers Sinclair, Inc.,

[ECF No. 66]

Defendant

10 Plaintiff Sheida Hukman moves for an extension of time to file a response to  
11 defendant Snackers Sinclair, Inc.'s (hereinafter, Harman<sup>1</sup>) motion for determination of vexatious  
12 litigant. ECF No. 66. Hukman requests a 16-day extension, which would move the response  
13 deadline from October 2, 2024, to October 18, 2024. *Id.* Hukman seeks this extension because of  
14 the voluminous exhibits<sup>2</sup> submitted in support of Harman's motion. *Id.* Harman does not  
15 oppose. *Id.* For those reasons and because I find there is good cause, Hukman's motion  
16 requesting an extension of time to respond [ECF No. 66] is GRANTED. The response deadline  
17 is extended to October 18, 2024, with the reply due by October 25, 2024.  
  


Dated: September 30, 2024

~~Cristina D. Silva  
United States District Judge~~

<sup>1</sup> Defendant notes that Snackers Sinclair, Inc., is improperly named in this action and that the proper defendant is Harman Unlimited, Inc. ECF No. 65 at 1.

<sup>2</sup> Although Harman did not incorporate its exhibits directly in its base document, it fails to file its exhibits as separate files and therefore violates LR IC 2-2(a)(3). See ECF No. 65-1 (combining exhibits A through M into a single 203 page file). Harman is also reminded that an index of exhibits must be provided (LR IA 10-3(d)). These and the other local rules exist to streamline court processes and preserve court resources, so I direct Harman to follow them in the future in this case and any other litigation in this district.